ALLOCATING ACCESS ACROSS A SHARED
COMMUNICATIONS MEDIUM TO USER CLASSES

Cross-Reference to Related Application

5   This U.S. patent application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 to the benefit of

the filing date of U.S. provisional patent application serial no. 60/205,963, which was

filed on May 19, 2000, and which is incorporated herein by reference. This application·

also incorporates herein by reference each of seven other U.S. patent applications to

McKinnon et al. filed concurrently herewith in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office and

10   respectively bearing serial numbers 09/___,___; 09/___,___; 09/___,___; 09/___,___;

09/___,___; 09/___,___; 09/___,___; and 09/___,___, each of which relates to allocating

access across a shared communications medium and is similarly titled.

Field of the Present Invention

          The present invention generally relates to allocating access across a shared

15   communications medium and, in particular, to allocating bandwidth used to convey data

of competing users across a shared communications medium of a Carrier Network.

Background of the Present Invention

          As used herein, a "Carrier Network" generally refers to a computer network

through which users (such as homes and businesses) communicate with various service

20   providers. The Carrier Network extends from the location of each user to an

intermediate switched/routed network (hereinafter "Intermediate Network"). The

service providers, in turn, are connected to the Intermediate Network, either directly or

indirectly via the Internet, for communications with the users. The Carrier Network is

maintained by a "Carrier," which also may serve as a service provider for certain

25   services. For example, a Carrier or a related entity may serve as an Internet service

provider (ISP).

Two prevalent types of Carrier Networks include a "Shared Access Carrier Network," in which data of multiple users are conveyed together over a shared communications medium between the users and the Intermediate Network, and a "Dedicated Connection Carrier Network," in which data of each user are conveyed alone

5   between the user and the Intermediate Network and are not combined with data of other users. One of the most prevalent Shared Access Carrier Networks today is found in the Data-Over-Cable (DOC) Network, which includes the traditional network constructed from coaxial cable and the hybrid fiber coaxial (HFC) network constructed with both fiber optical cabling and coaxial cable. Other Shared Access Carrier Networks

10   include wireless and digital subscriber line (xDSL) networks (the xDSL lines typically being aggregated onto an oversubscribed backhaul trunk into the Intermediate Network, with the trunk defining the shared communications medium).

For example, with regard to DOC Networks, and with reference to **FIG. 1** wherein a conventional DOC Network **40** is illustrated, data packets are transmitted in

15   a downstream direction from a cable modem termination system (CMTS) **30**, which is located in a headend **36** (or distribution hub) of a Carrier, over a coaxial cable **32** to respective cable modems (CMs) **34** of users. All of the CMs **34** are attached by the coaxial cable **32** to the CMTS **30** in an inverted tree configuration, and each CM **34** connected to the coaxial cable **32** listens to all broadcasts from the CMTS **30** transmitted

20   through the coaxial cable **32** for data packets addressed to it, and ignores all other data packets addressed to other CMs **34**. Theoretically, a CM **34** is capable of receiving data in the downstream direction over a 6 MHz channel with a maximum connection speed of 30-40 Mbps. Data packets also are transmitted in the upstream direction over a 2 MHz channel by the CMs **34** to the CMTS **30** typically using time division multiplexing

25   (TDM) and at a maximum connection speed of 1.5-10 Mbps.

The headend **36** in the DOC Network **40** includes a plurality of CMTSs, with each CMTS supporting multiple groups of CMs each connected together by a respective coaxial cable. Each such group of CMs connected to a CMTS defines a Shared Access Carrier Network, with the coaxial cable in each representing the shared communications medium. This arrangement of a group of CMs connected to a CMTS by a coaxial cable is referred to herein as a "Cable Network." Accordingly, the DOC Network **40** includes a plurality of Cable Networks **38** originating from CMTSs at the headend **36** of the Carrier, with a particular Cable Network **38** being illustrated in an expanded view in **FIG. 1.** The DOC Network **40** also includes multiple headends **36,64,66**.

In contrast to the Shared Access Carrier Network, a user in the Dedicated Connection Carrier Network establishes a dedicated connection directly with the Intermediate Network for the transfer of data directly therebetween, and no data of other users travel over the dedicated connection. Examples of a dedicated connection are shown for comparison in **FIG. 1** and include a connection established by a telephony modem **74** and a connection established by an ISDN modem **76**. Both downstream and upstream connection speeds in a Dedicated Connection Carrier Network range from a maximum of 53 kbps in a telephony modem connection to a maximum of 128 kbps in a basic rate interface ISDN connection.

Connection speeds and, more importantly, throughput rate—the amount of data actually transmitted successfully in a given time interval—are important in minimizing downtime that users spend waiting for HTML documents to download from the Web. A Shared Access Carrier Network is considered superior to a comparable Dedicated Connection Carrier Network because the maximum instantaneous connection speed offered by the Shared Access Carrier Network is greater. A Shared Access Carrier

Network is considered "comparable" to a Dedicated Connection Carrier Network where the entire bandwidth over a shared communications medium of the Shared Access Carrier Network equals an aggregate bandwidth that is divided between and dedicated to users in a Dedicated Connection Carrier Network. Accordingly, Shared Access Carrier

5 Networks are able to offer significantly faster downloads of web documents, emails, and file transfers that are not considered available in Dedicated Connection Carrier Networks.

Furthermore, new multimedia applications and Internet services, such as voice and video communications via the Internet, now are offered which require even greater

10 throughput rates for acceptable levels of service than that of the traditional Internet services, i.e., throughput rates greater than that required for acceptable text-based Web browsing, file transferring, and email communication. It is believed that these new multimedia applications and Internet services cannot adequately be provided for over Dedicated Connection Carrier Networks and that, consequently, Shared Access Carrier

15 Networks ultimately will prevail as the predominant type of Carrier Network for Internet access by users.

As Shared Access Carrier Networks emerge as the favored type of network, it is believed that open access to such networks by different competing service providers will become an important commercial and legislative issue. Moreover, as more and more

20 service providers seek to provide users with services over Shared Access Carrier Networks, it is believed that users of such service providers will receive inadequate bandwidth over the Shared Access Carrier Networks to meet minimum standards of quality, especially in Cable Networks where bandwidth is provided on a best efforts basis.

Accordingly, it is believed that a need exists for a method by which a service provider competing for users of a shared communications medium can seek protection against bandwidth starvation of the users of the shared communications medium that are its customers. Conversely, it is also believed that a need exists for a method that will

5   accommodate differing demands for network access by users competing for such access across the shared communications medium.

Summary of the Present Invention

Briefly summarized, the present invention relates to a method of providing network access across a shared communications medium between competing users. In

10   particular, the present invention includes the steps of allocating network access to at least two "user classes" for a first future time interval and, for each user class, allocating network access to each user within the class for the first time interval. The present invention further includes the additional step of allocating network access to each user class for a second future time interval succeeding the first time interval and,

15   for each user class, allocating network access to each user for the second time interval. Each user receives a first determined allowance of network access for utilization during the first time interval equal to that user's allocation for the first time interval, and a second determined allowance of network access for utilization during the second time interval equal to that user's allocation for the second time interval. The user

20   allocations—and hence the user allowances—preferably differ as between the first and second time intervals.

As used herein, a "bandwidth allowance" represents a respective maximum level of network access that will be made available to a user class or to a user during a particular time interval, and does not necessarily represent the level of network access

25   that will be utilized by the user class or user during such time interval.

As used herein, a "user class" is intended to refer to a grouping of users who compete for access across a shared communications medium and who have some characteristic in common. The characteristic may, for example, be that the users are customers who receive Internet service over the shared communications medium from the same service provider. The characteristic also may, for example, be that the users each subscribe to receive a particular level of network access across the shared communications medium, or that the users receive the same level of a particular service that is provided across the shared communications medium. Furthermore, a user class is a grouping of users to which, collectively, a determined amount of bandwidth is allocated as opposed to other user classes. In this regard, users that are not classified are considered to be part of a default user class having in common that fact that no other classification applies to them. Accordingly, all users of a shared communications network can be classified.

In features of the present invention, the method includes the steps of monitoring network access usage by the users, and forecasting network access usage of each user in a future time interval. Another feature includes the step of prioritizing the users for the allocation of network access.

One of many preferred embodiments of the present invention includes the monitoring of bandwidth consumption of each user across the shared communications medium of a Cable Network and tracking the collective bandwidth consumption of each user class; based on the monitored bandwidth consumptions, the forecasting of bandwidth consumption of each user in a future time interval and the calculation based thereon of the collective bandwidth consumption of each user class; the prioritization of users and the prioritization of user classes; and the subsequent allocation of bandwidth to each user class, and then to each user, in decreasing order of priority for determining

bandwidth allowances during the future time interval. Users and user classes are prioritized based on one or more various prioritization policies, including fairness considerations such as individual or collective user throughput during a particular time interval, individual or collective user data loss for a particular time interval, individual or collective user bandwidth consumption for a particular time-of-day, an established minimum quality of service (QoS) standard, or combination thereof. Other prioritization policies include the prioritization of users and user classes based upon provisions found in each user's respective service level agreement (SLA) or provisions found in each class' service level agreement (CSLA), and the prioritization of users based upon each user's forecasted bandwidth consumption for the future time interval and the prioritization of user classes based upon each class' collective forecasted bandwidth consumption. In alternative embodiments, the bandwidth that is requested, rather than the bandwidth that is consumed, is monitored and forecasted.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Further features and benefits of the present invention will be apparent from a detailed description of preferred embodiments thereof taken in conjunction with the following drawings, wherein like elements are referred to with like reference numbers, and wherein:

FIG. 1 illustrates a conventional DOC Network;

FIG. 2 illustrates a first DOC Network of the present invention;

FIG. 3 illustrates a second DOC Network of the present invention;

FIG. 4 illustrates a third DOC Network of the present invention;

FIG. 5 illustrates a fourth DOC Network of the present invention;

FIG. 6 illustrates a system architecture of software components that perform preferred methods of the present invention in the DOC Networks of FIGS. 2-5;

7/55

FIG. **7** illustrates a flowchart of the steps of a preferred routine for forecasting bandwidth of each user for a future time interval;

FIG. **8** illustrates a flowchart of the steps of generating a forecasted bandwidth for a user in accordance with the ARRSES Function of the preferred routine of **FIG. 7**;

FIG. **9** illustrates a flowchart of the steps of generating a forecasted bandwidth for a user in accordance with the HW Function of the preferred routine of **FIG. 7**;

FIG. **10** illustrates a graph of user throughput rates versus user data loss rates for two users relative to a target minimum QoS standard;

FIG. **11** illustrates a flowchart of a first preferred method of prioritizing classes and allocating collective bandwidth to each class;

FIG. **12** illustrates a flowchart of a second preferred method of prioritizing classes and allocating collective bandwidth to each class;

FIG. **13** illustrates a flowchart of a third preferred method of prioritizing classes and allocating collective bandwidth to each class;

FIG. **14** illustrates a flowchart of a fourth preferred method of prioritizing classes and allocating collective bandwidth to each class;

FIGS. **15a** and **15b** illustrate a flowchart of a fifth preferred method of prioritizing classes and allocating collective bandwidth to each class;

FIGS. **16a** and **16b** illustrate a flowchart of a sixth preferred method of prioritizing classes and allocating collective bandwidth to each class;

FIG. **17** illustrates a flowchart of a first preferred method of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth to each user within a class;

FIG. **18** illustrates a flowchart of a second preferred method of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth within a class;

FIG. 19 illustrates a flowchart of a third preferred method of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth within a class;

FIG. 20 illustrates a flowchart of a fourth preferred method of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth within a class;

FIGS. 21a and **21b** illustrate a flowchart of a fifth preferred method of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth within a class;

FIGS. 22a and **22b** illustrate a flowchart of a sixth preferred method of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth within a class;

FIG. 23 illustrates a flowchart of a preferred method of updating a DOC Network for a DOCSIS 1.0 compliant Cable Network;

FIG. 24 illustrates the allocation of bandwidth to users within a class during a first time interval;

FIG. 25 illustrates the allocation of bandwidth to the users of **FIG. 24** during a second time interval; and

FIG. 26 illustrates a flowchart of a preferred method of soliciting a user to modify the user's SLA based on monitored network access usage of the user.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

In the following detailed description, numerous specific details are set forth with regard to preferred embodiments of the present invention in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention; however, it will be apparent to ordinary artisans that the present invention may be practiced without all of these specific details. Well-known structures and devices also are shown in block diagram form, the specific details of which are not considered a necessary part of the present invention. Furthermore, as will become apparent to ordinary artisans, the present invention may

be embodied in or performed by hardware, firmware, or software, or various combinations thereof.

As described above, a conventional DOC Network **40** is shown in **FIG. 1** and includes a plurality of Cable Networks **38**, with a particular Cable Network **38** being illustrated in an expanded view and comprising a group of CMs **34**, each connected to a computer **44** representing a user. Additionally, as used herein, "user" includes not only a person who interacts with a computer **44**, but any additional persons who also interact with the same computer **44**, as well as any group of persons all of whom interact with computers attached either to the same CM **34** or to the same computer **44** which, itself, is attached to a CM **34**. While not shown, such additional arrangements are well known in the art.

The CMs **34** are connected by a coaxial cable **32** with a CMTS **30** and, specifically, to a card **31** mounted within the CMTS **30**. Each of the CMTSs of the DOC Network **40** preferably includes a plurality of cards, with each card supporting a group of CMs connected thereto in an inverted tree configuration to define a Cable Network **38**. Furthermore, each CMTS conventionally supports up to 1,500 users, although recent CMTSs have been introduced that support up to 15,000 users.

Each Cable Network **38** defines a Shared Access Carrier Network, wherein data of respective users in each are conveyed together through a shared coaxial cable. For instance, data packets (or frames) addressed to at least one of the computers **44** are transmitted by the CMTS **30** downstream over the coaxial cable **32** to all of the CMs **34** within a 6 MHz data channel. Conversely, data packets intended for delivery to the CMTS **30** and beyond are transmitted by a CM **34** upstream to the CMTS **30** over the coaxial cable **32** within a 2 MHz channel.

The Cable Network **38** shown in expanded view in **FIG. 1** is a traditional all coaxial cable network. The other Cable Networks **38** collectively include both traditional all coaxial cable networks as well as HFC networks.

The CMTS **30** transmits and receives data packets between the Cable Networks **38** and an Intermediate Network **46**, which begins with a router **48** in the headend **36**, and includes switched and routed network equipment at a Regional Data Center **50** that provides connectivity to service providers **52,54,56,58**, either directly or through the Internet **60**. In this regard, during user communications the router **48** conveys data packets from the CMTS **30** to the Regional Data Center **50** of the DOC Network **40** and, conversely, routes data packets received from the Regional Data Center **50** to the appropriate CMTS for delivery to a particular user. Data packets that are conveyed to the Regional Data Center **50**, in turn, are directed on to an appropriate service provider **52,54** directly connected to the Regional Data Center **50**, or to an appropriate service provider **56,58** indirectly connected to the Regional Data Center **50** via the Internet **60**. Alternatively, data packets from users are conveyed to a server of an application server group **62** of the Regional Data Center **50**, which includes, for example, servers supporting Web hosting, news, chat, SMTP, POP3, Proxy, cache and content replication, and streaming media.

The Cable Networks **38** stemming from headend **36** are maintained by a Carrier which also may maintain the Regional Data Center **50** as well as serve as a service provider. Moreover, the Carrier may maintain the Cable Networks of additional headends **64,66**, or of only one or more of the headends **64,66**. In any event, the Cable Networks that are maintained by the Carrier are administered on a daily basis through an element management system (EMS) **68**. The EMS **68** comprises an operations system designed specifically to configure and manage CMTSs and associated CMs, and includes

a CM database **70**. Operational tasks performed by the EMS **68** include provisioning, day-to-day administration, and testing of various components of each CMTS. The EMS **68** typically is located at a central network operations center of the Carrier, but may be collocated at the headend **36** of the Carrier as shown in **FIG. 1**.

5   The DOC Network **40** is managed through a control plane server group **72** typically located at the Regional Data Center **50**. The control plane server group **72** includes the usual servers necessary to run the DOC Network **40**, such as user authorization and accounting servers, log control servers (Syslog), IP address assignment and administration servers (DHCP, TFTP), domain name servers (DNS),

10   and DOCSIS control servers.

For purposes of comparison, two dedicated connections also are shown in **FIG. 1**, wherein a telephony modem **74** and an ISDN modem **76** are connected directly to the Intermediate Network **46** at the Regional Data Center **50**. As will be immediately apparent, data conveyed over each dedicated connection is between a single user and the

15   Intermediate Network **46**, and is not combined with data of other users over a shared communications medium as in each Cable Network **38**.

As is common in conventional Cable Networks **38** such as those shown in the DOC Network **40** of **FIG. 1**, when a CM comes online the CM is assigned a configuration file which, *inter alia*, sets a constant limit on the bandwidth that can be utilized in the

20   downstream direction by the CM during any particular interval of time, and sets a constant limit on the bandwidth that can be utilized in the upstream direction by the CM during any particular interval of time. The configuration file also includes other parameters, such as the IP address for the CM.

The configuration file for each CM conventionally is obtained by the CM when

25   first brought online, or when the CM is reset. The upstream and downstream bandwidth

limits are predetermined by the Carrier or other appropriate entity, the determination of which is based on the expected number of users to be serviced by the particular Cable Network **38** to which the CM belongs.

With particular regard to data transmissions in the downstream direction, when the bandwidth limit is reached in receiving data within a particular time interval, the CM transmits a signal to the router **48** to cease further data forwarding for the remainder of the time interval. Thereafter, whereas any data received by a CMTS is relayed on to the CM as the data is received, any additional data received by the router **48** during the remainder of this time interval is stored for later transmission in a buffer up to a threshold limit and, thereafter, any further data received within the time interval is dropped.

With regard to data transmissions in the upstream direction, when the CM registers with the CMTS following receipt by the CM of its configuration file, the CM informs the CMTS of the constant bandwidth limit to be applied to upstream transmissions from the CM. Then, actual requests for bandwidth (i.e., requests for timeslots) for transmission of data in the upstream direction are submitted regularly by each CM to the CMTS. In response to the submissions, the CMTS schedules timeslots in a particular time interval to the CMs for exclusive transmission of data within each timeslot by a respective CM. However, the CMTS does not grant an amount of bandwidth (by assigning too many timeslots) to a particular CM that would exceed the constant bandwidth limit for the particular CM.

The timeslots are assigned to requesting CMs based on an established assignment policy. For example, timeslots may be assigned by the CMTS on a first-in-first-out basis, or timeslots may be assigned equally to the CMs that request bandwidth

within a particular window of time. The requesting CMs also may be prioritized by the CMTS for assignment of the timeslots.

Preferred embodiments **78,80,82,84** of a DOC Network in accordance with the present invention are shown, respectively, in **FIGS. 2-5**, wherein each includes a "network access manager" **86** in accordance with the present invention. In **FIG. 2** the network access manager **86** is located in the headend **36** of the DOC Network **78**, in **FIG. 3** the network access manager **86** is located at the Regional Data Center **50** of the DOC Network **80**, and in **FIGS. 4-5** the network access manager **86** is remotely located, but is disposed for communication with the respective DOC Network **82,84**, either directly as shown in the DOC Network **82** of **FIG. 4**, or indirectly via the Internet **60** as shown in the DOC Network **84** of **FIG. 5**.

The network access manager **86** preferably comprises a hardware component having software modules for performing methods in accordance with the present invention. For commercial purposes, especially in enhancing existing DOC Networks, preferably the network access manager **86** is self-contained and need only be connected in communication with the DOC Network to operate correctly. In a DOC Network that is being upgraded or established, preferably the software modules are distributed within the DOC Network itself and may or may not include any additional hardware components such as the network access manager **86**. For example, the software modules may be incorporated into the EMS, CMTS, and control plane server group of a DOC Network, thereby avoiding the expense of additional computer hardware components.

In order to accommodate deployment and implementation of the present invention, the software modules preferably are designed as peers within a messaging infrastructure and, in particular, within a CORBA infrastructure **87**, the system architecture of which is shown in **FIG. 6**. Due to the interoperability of the peers to the

CORBA infrastructure **87**, the separate modules readily call upon each other as described in detail below without regard to differences in location between the modules. Nevertheless, for ease of deployment, the network access manager **86** is best suited for deployment and implementation of the present invention in established DOC Networks, 5   whether situated within the Intermediate Network as in **FIGS. 2-3**, or remotely situated as in **FIGS. 4-5**.

The software modules include a Data Collector **88**, a Database Manager **90**, Bandwidth Allocator **92**, and GUI & Report Generating Engine **94**. The Data Collector **88** and Bandwidth Allocator **92** each includes an external system interface layer **96,98**, 10   respectively, that enables it to communicate with network equipment of a DOC Network. In the system architecture of preferred embodiments, the Data Collector **88** communicates with each CMTS and CMs of each Cable Network for which network access is managed by the network access manager **86**, and the Bandwidth Allocator **92** communicates with the control plane server group **72** of the DOC Network as well as 15   with the CMTS and CMs.

If a DOC Network is DOCSIS 1.0 compliant, then each external system interface layer **96,98** is a DOCSIS external system interface layer. If a DOC Network uses proprietary interface specifications, then each external system interface layer **96,98** is designed based on the proprietary interface specifications. In either case, however, the 20   Data Collector **88** and Bandwidth Allocator **92** generally need not be modified; only the external systems interface layers **96,98** thereof need be changed based on the particularities of the DOC Network. Each of the Data Collector **88** and Bandwidth Allocator **92** also includes a scheduling element **100,102**, respectively, that schedules the timing of actions and communications thereof with the network equipment of a DOC 25   Network.

The GUI & Report Generating Engine **94** communicates with an Administrator **106** of the network access manager **86**, preferably through a web server, whereby the Administrator **106** sets up and configures the network access manager **86** and accesses reports generated by the network access manager **86**, such as graphs of bandwidth consumption and bandwidth requested per time interval for a user. The Administrator **106** may be the Carrier, a service provider, or some other entity, such as the entity managing the Regional Data Center **50** or a third-party responsible for maintenance of the network access manager **86**.

The Database Manager **90** stores configuration and setup information received from the GUI & Report Generating Engine **94**, as well as information processed by the Data Collector **88**. The Database Manager **90** also provides information to the Bandwidth Allocator **92** and GUI & Report Generating Engine **94** as requested via the CORBA infrastructure **87**.

Having now described in detail the structure of preferred DOC Networks **78,80,82,84**, preferred methods of the present invention will be described with reference thereto.

In accordance with preferred methods of the present invention, network access usages of each user in the upstream and downstream directions are monitored through the Data Collector **88**. Specifically, the Data Collector **88** issues queries to the CMTS and CM to which counter values of logical data units (LDUs) are returned for a user. Preferably, counter values are returned for the number of bytes and the number of data packets that are transmitted in both the upstream and downstream directions, the number of bytes and the number of data packets that are dropped in both the upstream and downstream directions, the number of bytes and the number of packets that are requested to be transmitted in the upstream direction, and the time for which the

counter values are returned. Accordingly, as used herein the phrase "monitoring network access usage" is intended to refer to the collection of data representative of at least one of: (i) the number of LDUs that are transmitted in a particular direction across a shared communications medium; (ii) the number of LDUs that are dropped in

5   transmitting in a particular direction across a shared communications medium; and (iii) the number of LDUs that are requested to be transmitted in a particular direction across a shared communications medium.

In a DOCSIS compliant DOC Network, the information is collected from the CMTS and CMs of a Cable Network via the simple network management protocol

10   (SNMP). The counter values for bytes and data packets that are transmitted and that are dropped in the upstream direction from each CM, and the number of bytes and data packets that are requested to be transmitted in the upstream direction from each CM, are recorded by the CMTS in accordance with a management information base (MIB) of a DOCSIS compliant CMTS. Likewise, the counter values for bytes and data packets

15   that are transmitted and that are dropped in the downstream direction from the CMTS to a CM are recorded by the CM in accordance with a MIB of a DOCSIS compliant CM. Both bytes and data packets are monitored since each data packet may vary in the number of bytes it contains.

The scheduling element **100** of the Data Collector **88** initiates the data collection

20   from each CMTS and from the CMs connected thereto, preferably at different predetermined time intervals. For example, the data collection from a CMTS preferably occurs at five minute intervals and data collection from the CMs connected thereto preferably occurs at thirty minute intervals. The data collection from the CMs preferably is less often than the data collection from the CMTS in order to minimize

consumption of bandwidth across the Cable Network that otherwise would be allocated to users.

When the counter values and time thereof are returned to the Data Collector **88**, the Data Collector **88** calculates the change over time for each counter value to arrive at the average rates of bytes and data packets that are successfully transmitted, the average rates of bytes and data packets that are requested to be transmitted, and the average rates of bytes and data packets that are dropped. The respective rates and time intervals for the rates (as well as the counter values and time stamp data) are then communicated to the Database Manager **90**, which stores the information in a user statistics table ("stats") for later use by the Bandwidth Allocator **92** and GUI & Report Generating Engine **94**.

The Bandwidth Allocator **92** continually determines the network access—or bandwidth in a Cable Network—that may be utilized by each user class, and by each user within each class, over succeeding time intervals. Each allowance is determined by first allocating bandwidth to the user classes, and then allocating bandwidth to the users in each class, in accordance with one or more selected allocation policies. Furthermore, as set forth above, each allowance is an amount of bandwidth up to which a user class or user may consume, but is not necessarily the amount of bandwidth that a user class or user will consume; it is an upper limit on such amount.

For example, with reference to **FIG. 24**, a selected allocation policy has resulted in the allocation of bandwidth to the users of the shared communications medium **2450** for a time interval extending from t_0 to (t_0 + dt), User **2** and User **K** each is allocated a single bandwidth unit (b/w unit **3** and b/w unit **X**, respectively), while User **1** and User **3** each is allocated two bandwidth units (b/w unit **1** and b/w unit **2** to User **1**, and b/w unit **4** and b/w unit **5** to User **3**). As shown in **FIG. 25**, in the next time interval extending

from (t_0 + dt) to (t_0 + 2dt), User **1**, User **3**, and User **K** each is allocated a single bandwidth unit (b/w unit **1**, b/w unit **5**, and b/w unit **X**, respectively), while User **2** is allocated three bandwidth units (b/w unit **2**, b/w unit **3**, and b/w unit **4**). In this example, all users are grouped within the same class, and the bandwidth units in this example broadly represent network access to the communication member 24**00** that is shared between the users across the shared communications medium **2450**.

In accordance with the present invention, respective user bandwidth allowances for each time interval are equated with these user allocations of bandwidth, whereby no user receives more bandwidth in a time interval than that user's respective bandwidth allowance for that time interval. Furthermore, it is important to distinguish what a user actually may be "allocated" in the context of the bandwidth that is actually utilized or consumed by such user, as opposed to bandwidth allocations to a user in accordance with the present invention. The bandwidth allocation in accordance with the present invention represents a limit on the amount of bandwidth that can be allocated to a user for a time interval—and hence is equated with a bandwidth allowance; it does not represent *per se* the amount of bandwidth that the user actually will utilize in the time interval.

In determining network access allocations (and thus allowances) in the preferred embodiments herein described, the Bandwidth Allocator **92** preferably performs three routines, including: the prediction of bandwidth of each user class, and each user within each class, in a predetermined future interval of time ("First Routine"); the prioritization of user classes, and users within each class, for allocation of bandwidth ("Second Routine"); and the actual allocation of bandwidth for each user class, and each user within each class, for determining the bandwidth allowances for the future time interval ("Third Routine").

19/55

The First Routine preferably is performed utilizing statistical analysis of past bandwidth consumption of each user or, alternatively, past bandwidth requested for each user, and the forecasted bandwidth includes the bandwidth expected to be consumed by each user or, alternatively, the bandwidth expected to be requested by each user. Any function, method, or algorithm that generates an estimate of a future sample based on previously encountered samples may be used and many are well known in the art of statistical analysis as is evident from SPYROS MAKRIDAKIS ET AL., FORECASTING METHODS AND APPLICATIONS (3d. Ed. John Wiley & Sons 1998), which is hereby incorporated by reference. With regard to user classes, preferably a collective forecasted bandwidth for each class is determined by summing the forecasted bandwidth of all users within the class.

The preferred algorithm for predicting each user's forecasted bandwidth includes the combined use of an adaptive-response-rate single exponential smoothing function (ARRSES Function) and a Holt-Winters' seasonal exponential smoothing function (HW Function). These two functions are utilized according to the forecast generation flowchart of **FIG. 7**. The input includes a list of active users and the applicable time intervals for bandwidth allocation.

The First Routine **700** begins by identification (**Step 702**) of the users of the Cable Network to which bandwidth is to be allocated in the Third Routine. Then, for each user, bandwidth for a succeeding time interval is predicted according to either the ARRSES Function or HW Function by first determining (**Step 704**) whether the user previously has been assigned a forecast function. If not, then in **Step 706** the ARRSES Function is assigned to the user and the ARRSES Function is used to generate and record the forecasted bandwidth for the succeeding time interval.

On the other hand, if it is determined in **Step 704** that a forecast function is assigned, but it is determined in **Step 707** that the forecast function is not the HW Function, then a determination is made (**Step 708**) whether to check for a seasonal cycle of the user. This determination in **Step 708** is made by checking the elapsed time since the last seasonal check was made, with a seasonal check being made after a predetermined period of time elapses. If the determination in **Step 708** is affirmative, then a seasonal identifier algorithm is executed (**Step 710**), in which an autocorrelation function and a seasonal identifier function are performed. The autocorrelation function is well known in the art of statistical analysis, and is used to identify elements in a time series which are influential on a current observation of that same series. Based on the output of the autocorrelation function, the seasonal identifier function identifies possible seasonal cycles of the time series by identifying local maxima of the results of the autocorrelation function.

Based on the results of the seasonal identifier function, a determination is made (**Step 712**) whether an actual seasonal pattern exists. If a seasonal pattern is not found, or if it is not yet time to check for a seasonal cycle, then a forecast is generated and recorded (**Step 714**) using the ARRSES Function. If a seasonal pattern is found, then the HW Function is assigned (**Step 716**) to the user, the HW Function is initialized (**Step 718**), and the first forecast is generated and recorded (**Step 720**) using the HW Function.

If it is determined in **Step 707** that the current function assigned to the user already is the HW Function, then the determination is made (**Step 722**) whether the last forecasted bandwidth was acceptable. This determination is made by comparing whether the forecasted bandwidth was within 10% of the actual bandwidth consumed or requested. If this determination in **Step 722** is negative, then the ARRSES Function is

assigned to the user and the new forecast is generated and recorded in accordance with the ARRSES Function (**Step 706**). If the last forecast is determined (**Step 722**) to have been acceptable, then a determination is made (**Step 724**) whether the seasonal cycle has ended. If the seasonal cycle has ended, then the HW Function is reinitialized (**Step 726**), and the first forecast of the next seasonal cycle is generated and recorded (**Step 728**) via the HW Function. If the seasonal cycle has not expired, then the next forecast is generated and recorded (**Step 730**) in accordance with the HW Function.

Following each of **Step 706**, **Step 714**, **Step 728**, and **Step 730**, the Bandwidth Allocator **92** determines (**Step 732**) whether the forecasting has been completed for all users and, if not, then repeats (**Step 738**) a forecast loop for a remaining user. If it is determined in **Step 732** that all users have been evaluated, then the forecasts are communicated (**Step 736**) to the Database Manager **90** and the forecasting routine ends.

A forecast of bandwidth for a user in a future time interval is generated in accordance with the ARRSES Function via the following formulas:

$$F_{N+1} = F_N + \alpha_N (B_N - F_N)$$

$$\alpha_{N+1} = | SE_N / SAE_N |$$

$$SE_{N+1} = SE_N + \beta (B_{N+1} - F_{N+1} - SE_N)$$

$$SAE_N = \beta | (B_N - F_N) | + (1 - \beta) SAE_{N-1}$$

wherein,

F is the bandwidth that is expected to be consumed by a user for a time interval (or the bandwidth that is expected to be requested by a user);

B is the bandwidth that is actually consumed by a user for the time interval (or the bandwidth that is actually requested by a user);

N is the present time interval;

N − 1 is the previous (immediate past) time interval;

N + 1 is the next (immediate future) time interval; and

β is a selected parameter affecting the responsiveness to change of the ARRSES Function when the bandwidth of a user changes between time intervals.

Bandwidth is predicted both for the 6 MHz channel in the downstream direction as well as the 2 MHz channel in the upstream direction. Preferably each time interval is thirty minutes in length, but preferably may range from fifteen minutes to sixty minutes in length when bandwidth is forecast in the downstream direction. Preferably each time interval is five minutes in length, but preferably may range from one minute to fifteen minutes in length when bandwidth is forecast in the upstream direction.

The steps in generating a forecast in accordance with the ARRSES Function are set forth in **FIG. 8**, and include the calculation **(Step 802)** of a forecast error, the calculation **(Step 804)** of a smoothed error, the calculation **(Step 806)** of a smoothed absolute error, the calculation **(Step 808)** of alpha, and the calculation **(Step 810)** of the new forecast.

A forecast of bandwidth of a user for a future time interval is generated in accordance the HW Function via the following formulas:

$$L_s = 1/s \ (Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_s)$$

$$b_s = 1/s \ [\ (Y_{s+1} - Y_1) \ / \ s + (Y_{s+2} - Y_2) \ / \ s + \dots + (Y_{2s} - Y_s \ )/ \ s]$$

$$S_1 = Y_1/L_s, \ S_2 = Y_2/L_s, \ \dots \ S_s = Y_s/L_s$$

$$L_t = \alpha \ (Y_t/S_{t-s}) + (1-\alpha) \ (L_{t-1} + b_{t-1})$$

$$b_t = \beta \ (L_t - L_{t-1}) + (1-\beta) \ b_{t-1}$$

$$S_t = \gamma \ Y_t/L_t + (1-\gamma \ ) \ S_{t-s}$$

$$F_{t+m} = (L_t + b_t m) \ S_{t-s+m}$$

wherein,

L_i = an average level of bandwidth after time interval i,

b_i = the trend after time interval i,

s_i = the seasonal influence at time interval i,

s = length of seasonal cycle (in number of time intervals),

Y_i = monitored bandwidth consumed or requested in time interval i,

t = time of initialization,

m = the number of time intervals into the future for which a forecast is made,

and

α, β, and γ are parameters of the forecast method whose values are determined by doing a grid search over the domain of possible values of these parameters in an attempt to minimize the mean-squared-error of the forecast method, each of α, β, and γ falling between 0 and 1.

The steps in generating a forecast in accordance with the HW Function are set forth in **FIG. 9**, and include the initialization of the HW Function by determining L_s, b_s, and S_1, S_2, ..., S_s in **Step 902**, if appropriate; the determination of the intermediate values of L_t, b_t, and S_t in **Step 904**; and the determination of the forecast in **Step 906**, all in accordance with the above formulas.

The Second Routine performed by the Bandwidth Allocator **92** comprises the prioritizing of user classes, and of users within each class, to determine respective orders of allocations. Prioritization is performed in accordance with one or more of various possible prioritization policies for users and for user classes. With regard to users within each class, the prioritization policies may depend upon, for example, (i)

each user's SLA, (ii) each user's forecasted bandwidth, (iii) fairness considerations, or (iv) any combination thereof.

User SLAs that at least partially affect prioritization policies include those that specify, for example: (i) a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth; (ii) a time-of-day (TOD) minimum level of bandwidth; or (iii) a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth up to a maximum burstable level of bandwidth with target probability. Equivalently, such provisions also may be found in a CSLA for a class of which the user is a member.

Under a SLA or CSLA providing for a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth for a user, a user will have a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth for use at all times. Accordingly, if the available bandwidth to such a user otherwise would fall below the minimum guaranteed level, then such a user is given priority over all other users whose guaranteed minimum levels of bandwidth (if applicable) have been satisfied.

Similarly, under a SLA or CSLA providing for a TOD minimum level of bandwidth for a user, a user will have a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth for a particular TOD. If the available bandwidth to such a user otherwise would fall below the minimum guaranteed level during the particular TOD, then such user is given priority over all other users whose guaranteed minimum levels of bandwidth (if applicable) have been satisfied.

Finally, under a SLA or CSLA providing for a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth up to a maximum burstable level of bandwidth with target probability for a user, a user will have a guaranteed minimum level of bandwidth at all times and, in addition thereto, probably will have additional bandwidth up to a maximum level at any given time in accordance with the target probability. Accordingly, if the bandwidth available to such user otherwise would fall below the minimum guaranteed level, then the user is given priority over all other users whose guaranteed minimum levels of

bandwidth (if applicable) have been satisfied. The user also is given priority over such other users in allocating additional bandwidth as needed up to the maximum level in accordance with the target probability.

Other SLA or CSLA provisions not relating to guaranteed levels of bandwidth also may affect a prioritization policy for users. Thus, for example, a SLA or CSLA may specify a fee (in dollars per unit time per unit bandwidth) that is paid based upon bandwidth consumption by a user for a particular amount of time, and the fee may be different as between users. Under these circumstances, prioritization may be determined so as to maximize fee revenues that are paid.

Similarly, a SLA or CSLA may specify a credit (in dollars per unit time per unit bandwidth) that is applied by the Carrier to an account based upon a bandwidth shortfall to a user for a particular amount of time when a guaranteed level of bandwidth for the user is not met. Moreover, the credit may be different as between users. Under these circumstances, prioritization may be determined so as to minimize the collective credits that a Carrier must apply.

An example of prioritization based upon the forecasted bandwidth of each user includes giving priority to a first user over all other users, each of whom have a forecasted bandwidth that is greater than that of the first user.

Prioritization may also be performed based on unilateral fairness considerations, especially when SLAs or CSLAs do not guarantee minimum levels of bandwidth for individual users, or when users otherwise would share equally in priority. Thus, users may be prioritized based on, for example: (i) the throughput of each of the users for a given time interval, with priority going to the user with the lesser throughput; (ii) data packets dropped over a given time interval, with priority going to the user with the greater data loss; and (iii) throughput experienced during a particular time of day or day

of the week, with priority going to the user with the lesser throughput for the particular time of day or day of the week.

An example of fairness considerations that may be utilized in determining priority is illustrated in **FIG. 10**, wherein user throughput for a time interval is graphed against user data packets dropped in the time interval for Users A and B. A target QoS standard for minimum throughput and maximum packet loss rates are established by the Carrier, whereby in the illustrated example each user is prioritized based on the user's absolute distance from the target QoS standard. Thus, under this policy, User A experiencing higher throughput rate and a lower packet loss rate, and thus having a shorter distance from the standard, is prioritized lower than User B having a lower throughput rate and higher data loss rate.

With regard to user classes, prioritization policies are similar to those of the users and include, for example, (i) each CSLA, (ii) each class' collective forecasted bandwidth, (iii) fairness considerations, or (iv) any combination thereof.

CSLAs that at least partially affect prioritization policies for user classes include those that specify, for example: (i) a guaranteed minimum level of collective bandwidth for the user class; (ii) a time-of-day (TOD) minimum level of collective bandwidth for the user class; or (iii) a guaranteed minimum level of collective bandwidth up to a maximum burstable level of collective bandwidth with target probability for the user class.

Other CSLA provisions not relating to guaranteed levels of collective bandwidth also may affect a prioritization policy. Thus, for example, each CSLA may specify a fee (in dollars per unit time per unit bandwidth) that is paid based upon collective bandwidth consumption by the users of a class for a particular amount of time, and the fee may be different as between different classes of users. Under these circumstances,

prioritization may be determined so as to maximize fee revenues that are paid to a Carrier.

Similarly, each CSLA may specify a credit (in dollars per unit time per unit bandwidth) that is applied by the Carrier based upon a collective bandwidth shortfall to the users of the class for a particular amount of time when a guaranteed level of collective bandwidth is not met. Moreover, the credit may be different as between user classes. Under these circumstances, prioritization may be determined so as to minimize the total credits that a Carrier may have to apply.

An example of prioritization based upon the collective forecasted bandwidth of each user class includes giving priority to a first user class over all other user classes, each of which has a respective collective forecasted bandwidth that is greater than that of the first user class.

Prioritization may also be performed based on unilateral fairness considerations, especially when CSLAs do not guarantee minimum levels of collective bandwidth, or when classes otherwise would share equally in priority. Thus, user classes may be prioritized based on, for example: (i) the collective throughput of the users of a class for a given time interval, with priority going to the class with the lesser collective throughput; (ii) the collective data packets of a user class that are dropped over a given time interval, with priority going to the user class with the greater collective data loss; and (iii) the collective throughput of the users of a class experienced during a particular time of day or day of the week, with priority going to the user class with the lesser collective throughput for the particular time of day or day of the week.

The Third Routine performed by the Bandwidth Allocator **92** is the allocation of bandwidth to the user classes, and then to the users within each class, in accordance with one or more allocation policies as desired. Examples of allocation policies for users

include: (i) the equal distribution of all available bandwidth to all users; (ii) the distribution of all available bandwidth to all users proportional to each user's respective forecasted bandwidth; (iii) the distribution of bandwidth to each user equal to the user's respective forecasted bandwidth, with any surplus bandwidth being distributed to the users either equally or proportionally based upon the user's respective forecasted bandwidth; and (iv) the initial distribution of bandwidth to each user based upon the minimum of the user's guaranteed bandwidth or the forecasted bandwidth and, thereafter, incremental allocations of remaining bandwidth to all of the users.

Likewise, examples of allocation policies for user classes include: (i) the distribution of all available bandwidth by the Bandwidth Allocator **92** to all user classes proportional to the number of active users in each class; (ii) the distribution of all available bandwidth to all user classes proportional to each class' respective collective forecasted bandwidth; (iii) the distribution of bandwidth to each user class equal to the class' respective collective forecasted bandwidth, with any surplus bandwidth being distributed to the user classes either equally or proportionally based upon the class' respective collective forecasted bandwidth; and (iv) the initial distribution of bandwidth to each user class based upon the minimum of the class' guaranteed collective bandwidth or the collective forecasted bandwidth and, thereafter, incremental allocations of remaining bandwidth to all of the users classes.

Examples of alternate preferred methods of prioritizing user classes, and then allocating bandwidth to the classes, will now be described in detail, each of which utilizes one or more of the aforementioned user class prioritization and allocation policies. Alternative preferred methods of prioritizing users within each class, and then allocating bandwidth to the users in each class, are set forth thereafter. In either case, the preferred methods of prioritizing and allocating are initiated pursuant to the

29/55

scheduling module **102** of the Bandwidth Allocator **92**, which operates independently of the scheduling module **100** of the Data Collector **88**.

With regard to prioritization of and allocation to user classes, a first preferred method **1100** is illustrated in **FIG. 11** and begins with the retrieval (**Step 1102**) of the collective forecasted bandwidth from the Database Manager **90** for all active user classes. Whether a user class is active is determined by past collective bandwidth consumption of the class (or, alternatively, collective requested bandwidth for the users of the class), as revealed by the user stats maintained by the Database Manager **90**. All user classes are then prioritized (**Step 1104**) based on each class' collective forecast in increasing order, whereby a class having a lesser collective forecasted bandwidth will be prioritized over a class having larger collective forecasted bandwidth. A "surplus" is then set (**Step 1106**) to the total bandwidth available for allocation to the classes in the particular direction of communication over the shared communications medium at issue, and the total bandwidth available is then allocated (**Step 1108**) to each user class in an amount equaling the collective forecasted bandwidth subject to a respective maximum collective bandwidth value of the user class. Preferably the maximum collective bandwidth value is determined either in the appropriate CSLA or by the Carrier, Administrator **106**, or other entity. Allocation of bandwidth to a user class additionally is subject to the actual availability of bandwidth following previous allocations thereof to user classes with equal or higher priority.

Following allocations to all user classes, any bandwidth determined (**Step 1110**) to be remaining is then allocated (**Step 1112**) to the classes in amount proportional to the number of active users in each class, subject of course to the respective maximum collective bandwidth value of the class. The resulting class allocations are then recorded in the Database Manager **90** (**Step 1114**) as the bandwidth allowances for the classes.

The method **1200** illustrated in **FIG. 12** is the same as that of **FIG. 11**, except that surplus bandwidth, if any, is allocated (**Step 1102**) proportional to the collective forecasted bandwidths of the user classes, again subject to the respective maximum collective bandwidth value of each user class.

5   The preferred method **1300** illustrated in **FIG. 13** does not prioritize the user classes for purposes of allocation but, instead, treats all classes equally. The method **1300** begins with the retrieval (**Step 1302**) of the collective forecasted bandwidth of each user class from the Database Manager **90**. The surplus is then set to the total bandwidth available in the particular direction of communication, and the sum of all the

10   collective forecasts is calculated (**Step 1304**). The available bandwidth then is allocated (**Step 1306**) to all classes proportional to the class' collective forecasted bandwidth, again subject to the respective maximum collective bandwidth value for each class. The resulting class allocations then are recorded in the Database Manage **90** (**Step 1308**) as the bandwidth allowances for the classes.

15   The preferred method **1400** illustrated in **FIG. 14** seeks to maximize revenues from fees (**F**) that are paid for class bandwidth consumption. The method **1400** begins with the retrieval (**Step 1402**) of the collective forecast for each user class as well as a fee that is paid for the collective bandwidth of the class. The classes are then sorted (**Step 1404**) based on these fees in decreasing order, with the class with the highest fee

20   receiving the highest priority. Next, the surplus is set (**Step 1406**) to the total bandwidth available for allocation to the classes in the particular direction of communication. Bandwidth then is allocated (**Step 1408**) to the classes as available from highest to lowest priority in an amount equal to the class' collective forecasted bandwidth, subject to the respective maximum collective bandwidth value for the class.

Both preferred method **1500** of **FIGS. 15a** and **15b**, and preferred method **1600** of **FIGS. 16a** and **16b** differ from the other methods **1100,1200,1300,1400** in that these two methods allocate bandwidth to the user classes in multiple allocation rounds. Method **1500** begins in **FIG. 15a** with the retrieval (**Step 1502**) of the collective

5 forecasted bandwidths of the classes as well as a credit (**C**) that applies if a respective class does not receive up to a guaranteed maximum level of collective bandwidth. The classes are then prioritized (**Step 1504**) based on each class' respective credit in decreasing order, with those classes having higher credits being given priority over classes with lesser credits. Next, the surplus is set (**Step 1506**) to the total bandwidth

10 available to the classes in the particular direction of communication. Bandwidth then is allocated (**Step 1508**) as available in a first round to the classes from highest to lowest priority. The allocation for each class in the first round is equal to the minimum of the collective forecasted bandwidth or the maximum collective bandwidth that is guaranteed, subject to the respective maximum collective bandwidth value for the class.

15 If any additional bandwidth is determined (**Step 1510**) to remain after the first allocation round, then the surplus is set to the additional bandwidth (**Step 1514**). Bandwidth then is allocated (**Step 1516**) as available to each class in the same class order. Assuming sufficient bandwidth remains available, the allocation in the second round brings each class' allocation up to the class' collective forecasted bandwidth

20 subject to the class' respective maximum collective bandwidth value. Following the second allocation round, a determination is made (**Step 1518**) whether any remaining bandwidth exists and, if so, then the remaining bandwidth is allocated (**Step 1522**) to the classes proportional to each class' collective forecasted bandwidth, and subject to each class' respective maximum collective bandwidth value. The resulting class

25 allocations are then recorded (**Step 1524**) in the Database Manager **90** as the

bandwidth allowances of the classes. If it is determined that no bandwidth remains available in either of **Step 1510** or **Step 1518**, then the class allocations are completed and are recorded in the Database Manager **90** in Steps **1512,1524**, respectively.

Method **1600** of **FIGS. 16a** and **16b** differs from that of **FIGS. 15a** and **15b** only in that the sum of the collective forecasted bandwidths for all classes is calculated (**Step 1602**) and a determination is made (**Step 1604**) whether the sum exceeds the total bandwidth available for allocation to the classes. If the sum exceeds the total available bandwidth, then bandwidth is allocated (**Step 1606**) to each class in an amount equal to the collective forecasted bandwidth of the class, subject to the class' maximum guaranteed collective bandwidth, and less an amount thereof proportional to the total bandwidth shortfall. Thus, for example, if the sum of all collective forecasted bandwidths exceeds the total available bandwidth for allocation in an amount equal to 20% of all collective forecasted bandwidths, then each class is allocated bandwidth in an amount equal to the class' collective forecasted bandwidth (subject to the class' maximum guaranteed collective bandwidth), then less 20% thereof.

The information including fees, credits, guaranteed collective bandwidths, and respective maximum collective bandwidth values in the aforementioned preferred methods, is obtained from each CSLA and/or is predetermined by the Administrator **106**, Carrier, or other entity. Moreover, this information is retrieved by the Bandwidth Allocator **92** from the Database Manager **90**, which includes and maintains a CSLA table for each class as well as information regarding users associated therewith, as updated from time-to-time by the Administrator **106**. Specifically, the information is configured and maintained through GUIs provided as part of the GUI & Report Generating Engine **94**, and is preferably accessed by the Administrator **106** either directly or indirectly through the Internet **60**. Alternatively, information is retrieved by

the Bandwidth Allocator **92** from an external database maintained by the Administrator, Carrier, or other entity through an application program interface (API) incorporated into the external system interface layer **98** of the Bandwidth Allocator **92**. The use of an external database is preferred, as it eliminates any duplicative maintenance of information otherwise maintained by the Database Manager **90** which must be synchronized with the external database, including periodic updating of class and user records in a timely fashion.

Regardless of the particular method or policies utilized by the Bandwidth Allocator **92**, once class allocations have been determined, the Database Manager **90** is updated with the new class allocations. Then, for each class, allocations of bandwidth are made to the users in the class. Furthermore, allocations within each class may be made by different methods.

A first preferred method **1700** of prioritizing users and allocating bandwidth (whether upstream or downstream) by the Bandwidth Allocator **92** is illustrated in **FIG. 17** and begins with the retrieval (**Step 1702**) of the forecasted bandwidth from the Database Manager **90** for all active users. Whether a user is active is determined by past bandwidth consumption of the user (or, alternatively, requested bandwidth for the user), as revealed by the user stats maintained by the Database Manager **90**. All users are then prioritized (**Step 1704**) based on each user's forecast in increasing order, whereby users having lesser forecasted bandwidths will be prioritized over users having larger forecasted bandwidths. The "surplus" is then set (**Step 1706**) to the total allocated bandwidth of the class (i.e., the class' collective bandwidth allowance) in the particular direction of communication, and the entire bandwidth allowance of the class is then allocated (**Step 1708**) to each user in an amount equaling the forecasted bandwidth of the user subject to a respective maximum bandwidth value of the user.

Preferably the respective maximum bandwidth value is determined either in the user's SLA, the respective CSLA of the class, or by the Carrier, Administrator **106**, or other entity. Allocation of bandwidth to a user additionally is subject to the actual availability of bandwidth following previous allocations thereof to users with equal or higher

5   priority.

Following allocations to all users, any bandwidth determined **(Step 1710)** to be remaining out of the total class allowance is then allocated equally **(Step 1712)** to the users subject to the respective maximum bandwidth value for each user. The new user allocations are then incorporated **(Step 1714)** into the DOC Network as the bandwidth

10   allowances of the users.

The method **1800** illustrated in **FIG. 18** is the same as that of **FIG. 17**, except that surplus bandwidth in the class, if any, is allocated **(Step 1802)** proportional to the forecasted bandwidths of the users in the class, again subject to each user's respective maximum bandwidth value.

15   The preferred method **1900** illustrated in **FIG. 19** does not prioritize the users for purposes of allocation but, instead, treats all users equally. The method **1900** begins with the retrieval **(Step 1902)** of the forecasted bandwidth for each user in the class from the Database Manager **90**. The surplus is then set to the total allocated bandwidth of the class in the particular direction of communication, and the sum of all forecasts of

20   the users in the class is calculated **(Step 1904)**. The total allocated bandwidth of the class then is allocated **(Step 1906)** to all users in the class proportional to the user's forecasted bandwidth, again subject to each user's respective maximum bandwidth value. The user allocations then are incorporated into the DOC Network **(Step 1908)** as the bandwidth allowances of the users.

The preferred method **2000** illustrated in **FIG. 20** seeks to maximize revenues from fees (**F**) that are paid for bandwidth consumption by the users. The method **2000** begins with the retrieval (**Step 2002**) of the forecast for each user as well as a fee that is paid for bandwidth by each user. The users are then sorted (**Step 2004**) based on user fees in decreasing order, with the user paying the most for bandwidth receiving the highest priority. Next, the surplus is set (**Step 2006**) to the total allocated bandwidth of the class in the particular direction of communication. Bandwidth then is allocated (**Step 2008**) to the users in the class as available from highest to lowest priority in an amount equal to each user's forecasted bandwidth, and subject to the user's respective maximum bandwidth value.

Both preferred method **2100** of **FIGS. 21a** and **21b**, and preferred method **2200** of **FIGS. 22a** and **22b** differ from the other methods **1700,1800,1900,2000** in that these two methods allocate bandwidth to the users in multiple allocation rounds. Method **2100** begins in **FIG. 21a** with the retrieval (**Step 2102**) of the forecasted bandwidths of the users as well as a credit (**C**) that applies if a respective user does not receive up to a guaranteed maximum level of bandwidth. The users are then prioritized (**Step 2104**) based on each user's respective credit in decreasing order, with those users having higher credits being given priority over users with lesser credits. Next, the surplus is set (**Step 2106**) to the total allocated bandwidth of the class